

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS  
DIGITAL COMPUTER

LIBRARY ROUTINE L 8 - 302

**TITLE:** Solution of a system of linear equations by an iterative method (SADOI Only)

**TYPE:** Complete program

**NUMBER OF WORDS:** 338 (20-358) in Williams Memory

**ACCURACY:** Depends on the condition of the equations. For more information on this point see the description of the mathematical method.

**DURATION:** Depends to a large extent on the initial approximation. If it's close to the exact solution, convergence will be fairly rapid; if not, then convergence is liable to be very slow. If T is the time required to solve a system of N equations in N unknown, then  $T \approx .013N^2$  minutes. For example, when  $N = 35$ ,  $T = 16$ . This estimate of running time is to be regarded as an approximation only!

**PARAMETERS:** S6 - 00F 00nF where n = number of equations  
S9 - 00F 00kF where  $1 \leq k \leq 12$  is the number of decimal places desired in the output.

**RESTRICTIONS:** A must be symmetric and positive definite. In addition

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |a_{ij}| < 1 \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, N - 1.$$

This implies that the largest eigenvalue of  $A < 1$ . On the data tape, to be described below, the user will have to specify a and b where a is the lower bound for the magnitude of the eigenvalues of A and b is an upper bound. 0 cannot be taken as a lower bound for the eigenvalues of A. Finally  $N \leq 100$ .

**STOPS:** If there has been a drum failure there will be an FF stop at R.H. (OFO)<sub>16</sub> otherwise, when the problem is done, there will be an OF stop at (OS9)<sub>16</sub>.

METHOD OF USE:

Suppose the set of equations to be solved is  $A \vec{x} = \vec{y}$  where  $A$  is an  $M \times M$  positive definite symmetric matrix and  $\vec{y}$  a vector with  $M$  components. Let  $a$  denote the magnitude of the smallest eigenvalue or a lower bound for the magnitude of the smallest eigenvalue and let  $b$  denote the magnitude of the largest eigenvalue or an upper bound for the magnitude of the largest eigenvalue of  $A$ . Library routines M 26 or M 20 may be used to determine these bounds.

It is essential that

$$0 < a < b < 1.$$

The data tape is then prepared as follows:

a

b

s<sub>0</sub>

this is a scaling factor, to be discussed below

N

a<sub>11</sub>

a<sub>12</sub>

.

.

.

a<sub>1M</sub>

first row of matrix,

N

each row of matrix must

a<sub>21</sub>

a<sub>22</sub>

.

.

.

a<sub>2M</sub>

be followed by

the sexadecimal character N.

N

.

.

.

a<sub>M1</sub>

.

.

.

a<sub>MM</sub>

Last row of matrix,

N

Followed by:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} s_0 y_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_0 y_M \end{array} \right\} \text{ where } \vec{y} = (y_1 \dots y_M)$$

N

Followed finally by:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} s_0 \eta_{01} \\ \vdots \\ s_0 \eta_{0M} \end{array} \right\} \text{ where } \vec{\eta}_0 = (\eta_{01}, \dots, \eta_{0M}) \text{ is the}$$

N

initial approximation

All the above numbers are signed decimal fractions which are read in via N 12.

**SCALING:**

$s_0$  will usually be  $10^{-1}$  or  $10^{-2}$  etc. but other scale factors such as  $2^{-1}$ ,  $2^{-2}$ , etc. may also be used. The scale factor should be chosen so that the matrix  $s_0 A$  satisfies the restrictions noted above and the coordinate elements of  $s_0 \vec{y}$  and  $s_0 \vec{\eta}_0$  satisfy the following inequalities (in order to prevent overflow):

$$1) \quad s_0 \sum_{j=1}^M |\eta_{0j}| < 1/2$$

$$2) \quad s_0 \sum_{j=1}^M |y_j| < 1/2$$

The routine automatically performs any additional scaling. The first number punched on the output tape is  $s_k$ , the final scaling factor, followed by  $s_k \vec{x}$ , the scaled solution vector.

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF MATHEMATICAL METHOD AND CONVERGENCE CRITERION:**

Denote the system of equation to be solved by

$$(1) \quad A\vec{x} = \vec{y}$$

It is assumed throughout the following discussion that  $A$  is symmetric, positive definite, and has all eigenvalues less than 1. Now if one wants to solve this system of equations by an iterative method then, as has been pointed out by von Neumann [2], a large number of such schemes are described by the equations:

$$(2) \quad \vec{x}_{k+1} = G_k \vec{x}_k + H_k \vec{y}$$

where  $\vec{x}_k$  denotes the  $k$ th iterate and  $G_k$  and  $H_k$  are matrices of the same order as  $A$ . The  $G_k$  and  $H_k$  are not independent of one another; they must satisfy the following two conditions:

$$(3) \quad G_k + H_k A = I \quad (I \equiv \text{identity matrix})$$

$$(4) \quad \det |H_k| \neq 0$$

The reason for this is the following: suppose  $\vec{x}_k$  is the solution i.e.  $\vec{x}_k = \vec{x}$  then obviously  $\vec{x}_{k+1} = \vec{x}_k$  which means  $\vec{x} = (G_k + H_k A) \vec{x}$ , all  $\vec{x}$ , hence (3) follows. Similar considerations lead to condition 4. For further details consult Golub [1] pp. 7-8. Since  $\vec{x} = (G_k + H_k A) \vec{x}$  then upon subtracting (2):

$$(6) \quad \vec{x} - \vec{x}_{k+1} = G_k (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_k) \quad (\text{since } A\vec{x} = \vec{y}).$$

Therefore

$$(7) \quad (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_k) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} G_j (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0).$$

In many cases  $G_k = G$  (all  $k$ ) so (7) becomes

$$(8) \quad \vec{x} - \vec{x}_k = G^k (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0).$$

It is now easily seen that if the eigenvalues of  $G$  are all less than 1 in magnitude  $\vec{x} - \vec{\xi}_k \rightarrow 0$  independently of  $\vec{\xi}_0$ . However, if  $\vec{\xi}_0$  is a poor approximation or if the largest eigenvalue of  $G$  is close to 1 the convergence may be too slow. In [2] von Neumann considers replacing the original sequence  $\vec{\xi}_0, \vec{\xi}_1, \dots$  by a sequence of averages  $\vec{\eta}_0, \vec{\eta}_1, \dots$  where

$$(9) \quad \vec{\eta}_k = \sum_{\ell=0}^k a_{k\ell} \vec{\xi}_\ell$$

and

$$(10) \quad \sum_{\ell=0}^k a_{k\ell} = 1.$$

Hence

$$(11) \quad \vec{x} - \vec{\eta}_k = \sum_{\ell=0}^k a_{k\ell} (\vec{x} - \vec{\xi}_\ell) = \sum_{\ell=0}^k a_{k\ell} G^\ell (\vec{x} - \vec{\xi}_0) = \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^k a_{k\ell} G^\ell \right) (\vec{x} - \vec{\xi}_0).$$

That is, after  $k$  iterations of this process the initial error is multiplied by a matrix polynomial so that

$$(12) \quad \vec{x} - \vec{\eta}_k = P_k(G) (\vec{x} - \vec{\xi}_0) \text{ where } P_k(G) = \sum_{\ell=0}^k a_{k\ell} G^\ell$$

If  $\vec{x} - \vec{\xi}_0$  is expanded in terms of the eigenvectors of  $G$  (if  $G$  is symmetric, this can always be done) then the following inequality results:

$$(13) \quad \|\vec{x} - \vec{\eta}_k\| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |P_k(\lambda_i)| \cdot \|\vec{x} - \vec{\xi}_0\|$$

where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $G$ . Now for arbitrary  $\vec{r}_0$   $\|\vec{x} - \vec{r}_k\|$  is to be as small as possible after  $k$  steps, hence  $\max |P_k(\lambda_i)|$  must be minimized. The answer is well known and leads to the Chebyshev iteration scheme described by Golub [1] pp. 9-15. The general expression is:

$$(14) \quad \vec{r}_{k+1} = 2b_{k+1} (G \vec{r}_k + H\vec{y} - \vec{r}_{k-1}) + \vec{r}_{k-1} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

$$b_{k+1} = \frac{1}{2 - \bar{\lambda} \frac{2}{b_k}}; \quad b_1 = 1 \text{ and } \bar{\lambda} \text{ is an upper bound for}$$

the largest eigenvalue.

In the library routine described here  $H_k = \alpha I$ , all  $k$ , and hence  $G = I - \alpha A$ , where  $\alpha$  is chosen so as to minimize the maximum eigenvalue of  $G$ . If the smallest and the largest eigenvalues of  $A$  are denoted by  $a$  and  $b$  respectively, then  $\alpha = \frac{2}{a+b}$ . This choice of  $\alpha$  yields the iterative method used in this routine.

**CONVERGENCE CRITERION:**

As is pointed out by Golub,  $\|\vec{r}_{k+1} - \vec{r}_k\| < \epsilon$  does not imply  $\|\vec{x} - \vec{r}_{k+1}\| < \epsilon$ . However, if  $\vec{z}_k$  is defined as

$$\vec{z}_k = G \vec{r}_k + H\vec{y} - \vec{r}_k = HA(x - \vec{r}_k), \text{ then if } \|\vec{z}_k\| \text{ is}$$

"small" so is  $\|\vec{x} - \vec{r}_k\|$ . More precisely

$$\alpha^{-1} A^{-1} \vec{z}_k = \vec{x} - \vec{r}_k \text{ so } \|\vec{x} - \vec{r}_k\| \leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\|}{\alpha} \|\vec{z}_k\|.$$

Therefore if

$$\|\vec{z}_k\| \leq \frac{\alpha \epsilon}{\|A^{-1}\|}$$

then

$$\|\vec{x} - \vec{y}_k\| \leq \epsilon.$$

Now

$$HA(\vec{x} - \vec{y}_k) = \alpha A(\vec{x} - \vec{y}_k) = \alpha(\vec{y} - A\vec{y}_k) = \alpha \vec{r}_k = \vec{z}_k.$$

Because of round off errors  $\epsilon$  cannot be made arbitrarily small. In fact it might be the case that  $\min_k \|\vec{z}_k\| \geq \epsilon > 0$

for all  $k$ . Hence, if  $\epsilon$  is chosen too small, the process may not converge. A lower bound for  $\epsilon$  has been determined by Golub (see [1] P. 86) which can be expressed as

$$\epsilon \leq \frac{M}{(1-\rho)^2}$$

where

$$\rho = \frac{\lambda}{1 + \sqrt{1-\lambda^2}}$$

$$M = 70 \cdot 2^{-39}$$

and

$$\lambda = \frac{b-a}{b+a}.$$

$M$  is an estimate of the rounding error which occurs during iteration. It should be noted that if  $\rho$  is close to 1 (which is the case for ill conditioned matrices)  $\epsilon$  becomes large. Therefore, much less accuracy is to be expected in this case.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Digital Computer Laboratory, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, report No. 85. (1959)
- [2] Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory report LA - 2165 (1958) pp. 46-68.

DATE July 19, 1960

PROGRAMMED BY G. Golub

AND W. Rosenkrantz

APPROVED BY J. Snyder

aj



LOCATION	ORDER		NOTES	PAGE 1	L 8
	00 10K				
	26 1000N				
0	L5 6F		361 + 2N		
	00 1F				
1	L4 10L				
	42 3F		(S3)		
2	50 6F				
	75 6F				
3	L5 6F		$N^2 + N + 2560$		
	S4 F				
4	L4 11L				
	40 4F		(S 4)		
5	L5 6F				
	00 1F		3N + 1 + 360		
6	L4 6F				
	L4 10L				
7	42 5F		(S 5)		
	L5 12L				
8	42 7F				
	L5 13L				
9	42 8F				
	26 999F				
10	00 F				
	00 361F				
11	00 F				
	00 2560F				
12	00 F				
	00 202F				
13	00 F				
	00 251F				
	26 10N				
	00 20K				

LOCATION		ORDER	NOTES	PAGE 2	L 8
0		00K(L9) 40 (506) L5 OF			
1		10 1F 40 OF	a/2		
2		L5 1F 10 1F			
3		40 1F L4 OF	b/2		
4		40 (507) L5 1F	a/2 + b/2		
5		10 OF 50 (508)	Clear Q		
6		66 (507) S5 F			
7		40 (509) 75 (509)	$\lambda = b-a/b+a$		
8		10 1F 40 (510)	$\lambda^2/2$		
9		L5 (501) L4 (505)			
10		40 (503) L5 (500)			
11		L4 (505) 40 (502)			
12		L4 (511) 40 (504)			
13		50 (509) 71 (509)			
14		L4 (511) 40 1F			
15		S5 F 40 OF			
16	(0)	00 1F 50 (0)			

LOCATION		ORDER		NOTES	PAGE 3	L 8
17		22 (R1) 10 LF		$\sqrt{1 - \lambda^2}$		
18		40 OF LJ OF				
19		40 OF 50 (508)				
20		L5 (509) 10 LF				
21		66 OF S5 F		$e = \lambda/1 + \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2}$		
22		L4 (511) 40 OF				
23		50 OF 7J OF		$(1 - e)^2$		
24		40 OF L5 (512)				
25		50 (508) 66 OF				
26		S7 F 40 (513)		Compute end constant		
27	(25)	49 OF L1 OF	from 108			
28		40 (514) 41 (523)				
29	(24)	22 (1) L5 (514)	from 90, 99			
30		00 LF 36 (2)		Is $-b_k = -1/2?$		
31		89 LF 40 (514)				
32	(2)	50 (514) 79 (510)	from 30			
33		L4 (511) 40 OF				
34		50 (508) LJ (508)				

LOCATION		ORDER	NOTES	PAGE 4	L 8
35		66 OF S5 F			
36	(1)	40 (514) 41 (517)	from 29		Compute - $b_{k+1}$
37		41 (515) 41 (516)			
38		L5 (500) 40 (3)			
39		40 (19) L5 (501)			
40		40 (4) L5 (518)			
41		40 (5) 42 (6)			
42	(17)	L5 (520) 42 (7)	from 82		
43		42 (8) 42 (9)			
44		46 (6) 42 (11)			
45	(3)	85 11F 40 S4	by 38,47; from 50		Read in 128 components of $\Delta \vec{h}_{k-1}$
46	(7)	32 (7) 40 S5	by 42,49		
47		F5 (3) 40 (3)			
48		L0 (502) 36 (18)			
49		F5 (7) 42 (7)			
50		L0 (521) 36 (3)			
51	(18)	L5 (6) 40 1F	from 48,75		
52		L5 (517) 40 OF			

LOCATION		ORDER	NOTES	PAGE 5 L 8
53	(10)	L5 (506)		
		50 (10)		
54		26 S7		Jump to residual
		40 OF		
55		L7 OF		
		L2 (507)		
56		36 (100)		Rescale
		L5 OF		
57		66 (507)		
		S5 F		
58	(8)	40 OF		
		L4 S5	by 43, 74	
59		40 LF		
		19 LF		
60		50 LF		
		70 (514)		
61	(9)	00 LF		
		L0 S5	by 43, 74	
62	(6)	40 S5	$\Delta \vec{q}_k$ ; by 44, 73	
		L4 S3	by 41, 73	
63		40 LF		
		LL LF		
64		36 (12)		
		26 (100)		Rescale
65	(12)	L5 (515)	from 64	
		32 (13)		
66	(13)	26 (14)		
		50 OF	from 65	
67		L5 (516)		
		74 OF		
68		L4 (515)		
		40 (515)		
69		S5 F		
		40 (516)		
70	(14)	F5 (517)	from 66	
		40 (517)		

LOCATION		ORDER	NOTES	PAGE 6	L 8
71		L0 (505) 36 (15)			
72		L5 (6) L4 (519)			
73		40 (6) F5 (8)			
74		42 (8) 42 (9)			
75		L0 (524) 36 (18)			
76	(11) (15)	00 1F L5 S5	from 71 by 44,80; from 81		
77	(4)	86 11F 00 S4	by 40,78		Record 128 components of $\Delta \vec{v}_k$
78		F5 (4) 40 (4)			
79		L0 (503) 36 (19)			
80		F5 (11) 42 (11)			
81		L0 (525) 32 (11)			
82		26 (17) 00 F			
83	(19)	85 11F 00 S4	from 79,88 by 39, 87		
84	(5)	L4 S3 40 S3	by 41,86		
85		L5 (5) L4 (519)			
86		40 (5) F5 (19)			
87		40 (19) L0 (504)			
88		36 (19) L5 (516)			

LOCATION		ORDER		NOTES	PAGE 7	L 8
89		40 OF L5 (515)				
90		32 (20) 22 (24)				
91	(20)	00 LF 50 (20)	from 90			
92		26 (R1) 40 OF				
93		L3 (523) 36 (22)		is $\vec{r}_k = 0$ ?		
94		L5 (523) L0 (513)				
95		36 (23) L5 OF		$\ \alpha \vec{r}_k\ $ - end constant < 0?		
96		L0 (523) 36 S8		$\leftarrow C - \theta!$ when done		
97	(23)	L5 (523) 40 (522)	from 95			
98	(22)	L5 OF 40 (523)	from 93			
99		22 (24) 00 F		$\ \alpha \vec{r}_{k+1}\  = \ \alpha \vec{r}_k\ $ in acc.		
100	(100)	L5 (526) 40 (101)	from 56,64			
101	(103)	41 OF 50 (102)	from 106			
102	(101)	7J S3 40 S3	by 100, 104			
103		L5 (101) L4 (519)				
104		40 (101) F5 OF				
105		40 OF L0 (505)				
106		36 (104) 22 (103)				

LOCATION		ORDER		NOTES	PAGE 8	L 8
107	(104)	50 (102)	from 106			
		7J (506)				
108		40 (506)				
		26 (25)				
109	(102)	40 F				
		00 F				
110	(500)	85 11F				
		00 S4				
111	(501)	86 11F				
		00 S4				
112	(502)	85 11F				
		00 F	by 11	85 11F 00 NS4		
113	(503)	86 11F				
		00 F	by 10	86 11F 00 NS4		
114	(504)	05 11F				
		00 F	by 12	05 11F 00 NS4		
115	(505)	00 F				
		00 S6		00 F 00 NF		
116	(506)	00 F				
		00 F	by 0, 108	Scaling factor		
117	(507)	00 F				
		00 F	by 4	$a/2 + b/2 = 1/\alpha$		
118	(508)	00 F				
		00 F		zero		
119	(509)	00 F				
		00 F	by 7; $\lambda$			
120	(510)	00 F				
		00 F	by 8	$\lambda^2/2$		
121	(511)	80 F				
		00 F		-1		
122	(512)	00 F				
		00 70F				
123	(513)	00 F				
		00 F	by 26	End constant		



LOCATION		ORDER	NOTES	PAGE 9	L 8
124	(514)	00 F			
		00 F	by 28,31,36		$- b_k$
125	(515)	00 F			
		00 F	by 68		$  \alpha \vec{r}_{k+1}  _M^2$
126	(516)	00 F			
		00 F	by 69		$  \alpha \vec{r}_{k+1}  _L^2$
127	(517)	00 F			
		00 F	by 36,70		Equation counter
128	(518)	L4 S3			
		40 S3			
129	(519)	00 LF			
		00 LF			
130	(520)	00 S5			
		00 S5			
131	(521)	S2 (7)			
		40 128S5			
132	(522)	00 F			
		00 F	by 99		$  dr_{k-1}  $
133	(523)	00 F			
		00 F	by 28, 98		$  dr_k  $
134	(524)	NO F			
		L4 128S5			
135	(525)	80 LF			
		L5 128S5			
136	(526)	7J S3			
		40 S3			
		OOK(R1)			

LOCATION		ORDER	NOTES	PAGE 10	L 8
0	(A)	00 K 50 33L 50 L	Read in a, b, s <sub>0</sub>		
1		26 (NL2) L5 30L			
2		42 4L 42 9L			
3		50 360F 50 3L	Read in one row of matrix and transfer it to drum		
4		26 (NL2) L5 F			
5		86 11F 00 2560F			
6		F5 5L 40 5L			
7		F5 4L 40 4L			
8		L0 31L 32 4L			
9		41 29L L5 F	Check sum		
10		L6 29L 40 29L	Computation		
11		F5 9L 40 9L	Form $x_i +  S_{i-1}  = S_i$		
12		L0 32L 32 13L	$S_{-1} = 0$		
13		22 9L L5 5L			
14		40 15L F1 29L	Store $-S_n - 2^{-39} = CKS$		
15		00 F 00 F	on drum just after last elt. of row		
16		F5 5L 40 5L			

LOCATION		ORDER	NOTES	PAGE 11	L 8
17		F5 (C) 40 (C)			
18		L0 (N) 36 20L			
19		22 1L 0F F			
20		50 361S6 50 20L	Read in $s_0 y$ and initial approximation		
21		26 (NL2) 26 22L			
22		50 S3 50 22L			
23		26 (NL2) L5 33L			
24		40 F L5 34L			
25		40 1F L5 35L			
26		40 (102) 26 20F	Jump to iteration routine		
27	(C)	00 F 00 F			
28	(N)	00 F 00 S6			
29		00 F 00 F			
30		00 F 00 360F			
31		K6 (NL2) L5 360S6			
32		41 29L L5 360S6			
33		00 F 00 F			
34		00 F 00 F			

LOCATION		ORDER	NOTES	PAGE 12	L 8
35		00 F			
		00 F			
		00 K			
0	(B)	40 46L	Store scale factor;		
		K5 F			
1		42 37L	Plant link		
		L5 F			
2		40 (I)	Store i		
		50 (N+1)			
3		75 (I)	$i(N+1) 2^{-39} + 2560$		
		S5 F			
4		L4 40L			
		40 7L			
5		L4 (N+1)	End constant for drum transfer loop		
		40 41L			
6		L5 30(A)			
		42 8L			
7		00 F	Drum read order inserted by 4		
		00 F			
8		22 8L			
		40 F			
9		F5 8L			
		40 8L	Transfer ith row of matrix, including CKS		
10		F5 7L	to W.M. 360, 361, ... 360+N		
		40 7L			
11		L0 41L			
		32 12L			
12		26 7L			
		41 29(A)			
13		L5 30(A)			
		42 14L	Form CKS		
14		L7 29(A)			
		L4 F			
15		40 29(A)			
		F5 14L			

LOCATION	ORDER		NOTES	PAGE 13	L 8
16	40 14L				
	L0 42L				
17	36 18L				
	26 14L				
18	L5 8L				
	L0 43L				
19	42 20L				
	F5 26(A)				
20	22 20L				
	L4 F				
21	40 F				
	L3 F				
22	36 23L		Old CKS = New CKS?		
	FF F		$\geq 0$ yes; $< 0$ No!		
23	41 (MSP)				
	49 (LSP)				
24	L5 30(A)				
	42 26L				
25	L5 48L				
	46 27L				
26	L5 (LSP)				
	50 F		Compute residual,		
27	74 F				
	L4 (MSP)				
28	40 (MSP)		double precision		
	S5 F				
29	40 (LSP)				
	L5 27L				
30	L4 43L				
	46 27L				
31	F5 26L				
	42 26L				
32	L0 47L				
	32 33L				
33	26 26L				

LOCATION		ORDER		NOTES	PAGE 14	L 8
34		L5 48L L4 (I)		$s_k y_i = s_k \left( \frac{s_0 y_i}{s_0} \right)$		
35		42 <del>35</del> L 50 46L				
36		75 F 66 35(A)				
37		S5 F L0 (MSP)				
38	(I)	22 F 00 F				
39	(N+1)	00 F 00 1S6				
40		85 11F 00 2560F				
41		00 F 00 F				
42		L7 29(A) L4 360S6				
43		00 1F 00 1F				
44	(MSP)	00 F 00 F				
45	(LSP)	00 F 00 F				
46		00 F 00 F		$s_k$ stored here		
47		L5 (LSP) 50 360S6		End constant		
48		00 S3 00 361S6				

LOCATION		ORDER		NOTES PAGE 15 L 8
0		00 K L5 (506) 26 1L		
1		50 12F 50 1L		Print out final scale factor
2		26 (P16) L5 5L		
3		L4 (N) 40 11L		
4		92 135F 92 515F		
5		22 5L L5 S3		
6		50 S9 50 6L		
7		26 (P16) 92 131F		
8		92 515F F5 5L		
9		40 5L L0 11L		
10		32 19(A) 22 5L		
11		00 F 00 F		
		(P16) 00K		
		(N12) 00K		
		24(A) 261N		