

A procedure is given for computation of e^x using tables of coefficients of the economized approximating polynomial over a range of positive and negative x . A related procedure that uses continued fractions is also discussed.

The exponential function was selected to test the effectiveness of table lookup methods in the computation of elementary functions. The number of multiplications or divisions required of standard methods is compared with the number required when table lookup is employed.

Computation of e^x with the use of large tables

by Kurt Spielberg

With the availability of continually increasing amounts of core storage, one might reasonably expect a trend toward programs which take advantage of large tables to reduce execution times. Examples of areas in which large tables have already been found necessary for the attainment of reasonable computation times are: large-scale weather forecasting, neutron transport and diffusion calculations, and bubble chamber analyses.

In this paper we investigate the effectiveness of large tables in the computation of the elementary function e^x . A number of suitably efficient approximations developed by means of Chebyshev techniques are given, so that the reader has a choice of various table sizes. The approximations are mainly economized polynomials (in the sense of References 1, 2, 3), but some continued-fraction approximations are provided for application in "short-arithmetic" routines.

The allocation of additional storage to tables is economically justified if it yields a sufficient reduction in computing time. Therefore, the table lookup procedure is compared with a standard procedure^{4,5} that uses polynomials or continued fractions over a relatively large domain. Tables of modest size, say 256 entries, reduce the number of multiplications required in the central loop of the program from approximately 10 or 11 to 5 for high-precision and from 5 or 6 to 2 for low-precision subroutines.

Techniques similar to the one described in this paper have undoubtedly been used before, but only in conjunction with very small tables.

We may assume that the argument is given in normalized floating point form

$$x = b^s f \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{1}{b} \leq f < 1$$

Here, b denotes the base of the number system, s the exponent (usually given in the characteristic of the floating-point number) and f the fraction. For the IBM 704, 709, 7090, 7094, we have $b = 2$; for SYSTEM/360, $b = 16$.

The reduction of the domain of x , which is fairly large, to a small domain in a derived variable is usually accomplished by multiplication of the argument with $\log_b e$. Thus,

$$e^x = b^{x \log_b e} = b^{M \pm F} = b^M b^{\pm F} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{where} \quad -1 < F < 1 \quad \text{or} \quad 0 < F < 1$$

Here M stands for an integral part of $x \log_b e$ and F for the remaining fractional part. The domain of F , for which an approximation to b^F must be found, can easily be made to correspond to either $(-1, 1)$ or $(0, 1)$.

Even for the hexadecimal base of the SYSTEM/360, the choice $b = 2$ is of interest because one may wish to utilize approximations for 2^F or 2^{-F} only, rather than for 16^F or 16^{-F} . However, it then becomes necessary to examine the integer M modulo 4, e.g., by

$$2^M \cdot 2^F = 2^{4N+i} \cdot 2^F = 16^N \cdot 2^F \cdot 2^i \quad (2)$$

the multiplications with 2^i ($i = 0, 1, 2$, or 3) being executed explicitly. The multiplication with 16^N is, of course, accomplished by addition of N to the characteristic of 2^F , when the latter is available in floating-point hexadecimal format.

The choice $b = 16$ in Equation 1 has the merit of avoiding the clumsy procedure in Equation 2, but leads to the necessity of obtaining a good approximation to 16^F in, say, $(0, 1)$. In general, for a given degree of accuracy, approximations to b^F require an increasing number of coefficients as b increases.

We consider the functions $b^{\pm F} = b^{\pm(u+h)}$ in the interval $0 \leq F < 1$, the argument F (for example, m binary digits or bits) being decomposed into the first n bits, denoted by y , and the remaining $m - n$ bits, denoted by h . The table consists of the value of $b^{\pm y}$, stored at the left endpoints

$$y_i = (i-1)\Delta \quad \Delta = 2^{-n}; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$$

which describe a partitioning of $[0, 1)$ into 2^n subintervals.⁶

Expanding $b^{\pm(u+h)}$ about a typical point y_i , we have:

$$b^{\pm F} = b^{\pm y_i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k h^k \quad (3)$$

$$c_k = (\pm 1)^k \frac{1}{k!} (\log_e b)^k$$

where h can be taken as a non-negative difference of F and y ,
 $0 \leq h < 2^{-n} = \Delta$

Let A_N stand for the absolute error (in magnitude) committed when the power series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k h^k$ is approximated, say in accordance with a Chebyshev economization procedure,^{1,2,3} by an N term polynomial $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k h^k$. The relative error in $b^{\pm F}$ is then given, after cancellation of $b^{\pm \nu_i}$, by $R_N = A_N/b^{\pm h}$. An upper bound on R_N for a given value of n is evidently given by:

$$R_N(n) \lesssim A_N b^{\Delta}$$

The factor b^{Δ} approaches 1 as n increases.

In the case of rapidly decreasing c_k we can obtain a good estimate for A_N , and with it an approximation for $R_N(n)$ as

$$R_N(n) \approx |2^{-2N+1} c_N 2^{-nN} b^{\Delta}| \quad (4)$$

This can be seen as follows. Introduce the variable x by means of $x = h/\Delta$, so that the domain of x is $[0, 1)$. Under this transformation, the power series in Equation 3 goes into a power series $\chi(x)$,

$$\sum c_k h^k = \sum c_k \Delta^k x^k \equiv \chi(x)$$

To economize $\chi(x)$ we replace, in principle, the powers of x by the appropriate shifted Chebyshev polynomials $\tilde{T}_i(x)$ and discard all terms for which $i \geq N$. The error A_N is essentially given by the leading term discarded, which in view of

$$x^N = 2^{1-2N} [\tilde{T}_N(x) + \dots]$$

becomes

$$|2^{1-2N} \tilde{T}_N(x) c_N \Delta^N| \lesssim |2^{1-2N} c_N \Delta^N|$$

From Equation 4 we can construct Table 1 which gives $R_N(n)$ as a function of n and N . This table allows a quick estimate of the number of coefficients required in the approximation polynomial for a given number of table entries and a specified relative error. For small values of N and n , the entries are not very reliable, since the c_k may not decrease sufficiently rapidly with increasing k . For the case $b = 16$, we set

$$|c_1| = \log_e 16 = 2.77258 \ 87222 \ 39781 \ 23766 \ 8928 \dots$$

The approximations given in this paper were obtained from Chebyshev expansions in $T_n(x)$, valid in $-1 \leq x \leq +1$. This approach was chosen because a computer program, IB CTR, was available.³ Basically, the program generates and tests polynomial-, rational-, and continued-fraction approximations $f^*(z)$ to given polynomials $f(z)$ in $-\alpha \leq z \leq \alpha$, with α arbitrary. The polynomial $f(z)$ consists of a sufficiently large number of terms of the power series of the function considered.

Table 1 Relative error as a function of n and N

n	N							2^n number of table entries
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
4	(2)	(4)	(6)	(8)	(10)	(12)	(15)	16
	.224	.322	.350	.307	.219	.136	.731	
8	(5)	(8)	(11)	(14)	(17)	(21)	(24)	256
	.741	.669	.453	.246	.110	.428	.145	
9	(5)	(9)	(12)	(16)	(19)	(23)	(27)	512
	.184	.831	.282	.762	.172	.333	.563	
10	(6)	(9)	(13)	(17)	(21)	(25)	(29)	1024
	.459	.103	.175	.238	.268	.259	.219	
11	(6)	(10)	(14)	(19)	(23)	(27)	(32)	2048
	.115	.129	.109	.740	.417	.202	.854	
12	(7)	(11)	(16)	(20)	(25)	(29)	(34)	4096
	.286	.162	.684	.231	.652	.158	.334	
13	(8)	(12)	(17)	(22)	(26)	(31)	(36)	8192
	.716	.202	.427	.723	.102	.123	.130	
14	(8)	(13)	(18)	(23)	(28)	(34)	(39)	16384
	.179	.252	.267	.226	.159	.963	.509	

() Number of leading zeros

Given the approximation $f^*(z)$ in $-\alpha \leq z \leq \alpha$, we have to shift the interval to $[0, \Delta = 2\alpha]$ by means of the linear transformation

$$h = z + \alpha \rightarrow 0 \leq h \leq \Delta = 2\alpha \quad (5)$$

$$(16^h)^* = 16^\alpha \cdot (16^z)^* \quad (6)$$

If the absolute error in $(16^z)^*$ is denoted by A , the absolute error in $(16^h)^*$ becomes $16^\alpha \cdot A$. However, 16^α is quite close to 1 for the ranges considered.

In Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5 we give the coefficients a_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, of a set of polynomial approximations to 16^h . They were selected to be useful in the two ranges of accuracy which are of most interest in the SYSTEM/360 context. The long range and short range arithmetic floating-point words of SYSTEM/360 have fractions of 56 and 24 bits respectively. Even for normalized arguments, the three leading bits may be zero, so that we will be interested in approximations of relative error between 2^{-57} and 2^{-54} ($0.7 \cdot 10^{-17}$ and $0.56 \cdot 10^{-16}$) and between 2^{-25} and 2^{-22} ($0.3 \cdot 10^{-7}$ and $0.24 \cdot 10^{-6}$), respectively. In the first range, the given coefficients were computed in floating-point double-precision mode on the 704. Since this arithmetic mode has no more than 54 significant bits, sufficient computational accuracy cannot be expected. For this contingency we are in a position to give "increments" Δa_i (the primary output of IB CTR) which

Table 2 Polynomial approximations to 16^x First set of N numbers: approximation coefficients, $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$ Second set of N numbers: increments, $\Delta a_0, \Delta a_1, \Delta a_2, \dots$ Third set of N numbers: first coefficients of power series, $a_0^0, a_1^0, a_2^0, \dots$

$n = 8 \quad N = 6 \quad R = (17).110^*$			
.99999 99999 99999 9	2.7725 88722 23980 1	3.8436 24111 28507 4	3.5522 63020 64986 0
2.4622 08432 35539 5	1.3727 71781 50757 0		
.34086 50235 53789 (16)	-.87806 55976 11774 (13)	.77780 95084 19347 (10)	-.28297 06962 41580 (07)
.36134 25338 63430 (05)	.16773 26288 78453 (05)		
.99999 99999 99999 7	2.7725 88722 23988 9	3.8436 24111 20729 3	3.5522 63048 94693 0
2.4622 04818 93005 7	1.3727 70104 18128 2		
$n = 9 \quad N = 5 \quad R = (15).223^*$			
.99999 99999 99999 9	2.7725 88722 23783 5	3.8436 24119 31080 5	3.5522 51538 47731 5
2.4689 17769 28121 9			
-.11394 38381 43381 (14)	.42774 67967 23492 (11)	-.47765 25780 51647 (08)	.16285 09419 48967 (05)
.90499 76719 34894 (06)			
1.0000 00000 00000 1	2.7725 88722 23355 8	3.8436 24124 08733 1	3.5522 49909 96789 5
2.4689 16864 28354 6			
$n = 10 \quad N = 5 \quad R = (17).237^*$			
.99999 99999 99999 8	2.7725 88722 23965 9	3.8436 24112 34014 7	3.5522 60103 36372 8
2.4655 76924 42791 9			
-.35567 57125 06744 (16)	.26706 55503 59738 (12)	-.59656 09736 60950 (09)	.40701 78530 84898 (06)
.22594 33280 62708 (06)			
.99999 99999 99999 9	2.7725 88722 23939 2	3.8436 24112 93670 8	3.5522 59696 34587 5
2.4655 76698 48459 1			
$n = 12 \quad N = 4 \quad R = (16).684$			
.99999 99999 99999 8	2.7725 88722 24874 0	3.8436 23927 84778 0	3.5534 65449 60428 9
.47851 36546 89676 (15)	-.89595 70477 02938 (11)	.36693 35421 72204 (07)	.25440 35275 12205 (07)
.99999 99999 99999 1	2.7725 88722 25770 0	3.8436 23891 15442 6	3.5534 65424 16393 7
$n = 13 \quad N = 4 \quad R = (17).427$			
.99999 99999 99999 9	2.7725 88722 24090 0	3.8436 24065 47697 4	3.5528 64144 84317 3
.29903 12720 91388 (16)	-.11198 21947 52305 (11)	.91729 50693 76185 (08)	.63590 11990 48984 (08)
.99999 99999 99999 8	2.7725 88722 24202 0	3.8436 24056 30402 4	3.5528 64138 48416 1
$n = 18 \quad N = 3 \quad R = (17).616$			
.99999 99999 99999 8	2.7725 88722 21070 3	3.8436 44437 61998 1	
-.18486 65106 88162 (16)	.96923 10094 58279 (11)	.89576 28133 94029 (11)	
.99999 99999 99999 8	2.7725 88722 20101 1	3.8436 44437 61102 4	

* Used in testing exponential subroutine

() Number of leading zeros

have to be added to the corresponding terms in the power series, say a_i^0 , to give rise to the coefficients of the approximation polynomials:

$$a_i = a_i^0 + \Delta a_i \quad (7)$$

The a_i^0 are the coefficients of the power series of $16^{x^*} = 16^{x + \alpha x}$, after the transformation given in Equations 5 and 6. The a_i can then be computed easily on a desk calculator. The addition

Table 3 Lower accuracy polynomial approximations to 16^h N approximation coefficients, $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$

$n = 2$	$N = 6$	$R = (06).220^*$					
.99999	98958	2.7726	18480	3.8422	52315	3.5752	50124
				2.2902	30896	1.9405	89840
$n = 3$	$N = 6$	$R = (08).201$					
.99999	99986	2.7725	89515	3.8435	50606	3.5547	48806
				2.4244	75201	1.6257	23021
$n = 3$	$N = 5$	$R = (06).139$					
1.0000	00095	2.7725	50756	3.8460	31204	3.4991	82789
				2.9325	10894		
$n = 4$	$N = 5$	$R = (08).333$					
1.0000	00003	2.7725	86526	3.8439	03933	3.5398	25509
				2.6861	00767		
$n = 5$	$N = 4$	$R = (07).210$					
.99999	99809	2.7726	08210	3.8405	18784	3.7099	70193
$n = 6$	$N = 4$	$R = (08).123$					
.99999	99988	2.7725	91114	3.8428	60363	3.6301	53834
$n = 7$	$N = 3$	$R = (07).548$					
1.0000	00053	2.7724	65732	3.8855	16414		
$n = 8$	$N = 3$	$R = (08).673$					
1.0000	00007	2.7725	58104	3.8645	04054		

* Used in testing exponential subroutine

() Number of leading zeros

of the increments Δa_i from Equation 7 produces coefficients of sufficient accuracy. It should be noted that this will be necessary for the leading coefficients only, since the terms of higher order $a_k h^k$ will be small because of the smallness of h .

For the convenience of the reader, we give the a_i^0 to 16-digit accuracy whenever the Δa_i are given. In Tables 6 and 7, we also supply the leading coefficients of the power series expansion of $16^{z\pm\Delta}$ and a set of powers $16^{\pm\Delta}$. These tables are intended to assist the reader in deciding whether or not his desk-calculator computations are on the right track.

For any combination of n and N , the constants in the tables are given in the order $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}; \Delta a_0, \Delta a_1, \dots, \Delta a_{N-1}; a_0^0, a_1^0, \dots, a_{N-1}^0$. The fact that the first coefficients $a_0, \Delta a_0, a_0^0$ do not, in general, satisfy Equation 7 reflects, in the author's estimation, the inaccuracy of the double-precision arithmetic utilized in arriving at the table entries. Digits in parentheses denote the number of leading zeros after the decimal point.

Finally, Table 8 gives continued fraction approximations to $16^{z\pm\Delta}$, for low-precision subroutines. Here z lies in the interval $-\Delta/2 \leq z \leq \Delta/2$. The transformation to $0 \leq h \leq \Delta$ is trivial and can be left to the reader. It would not be meaningful to give continued fraction approximations for the larger precision range of interest, since again the double-precision arithmetic used in arriving at these approximations was not accurate enough. In

Table 4 Polynomial approximations to 16^{-z}

First set of N numbers: approximation coefficients, $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$

Second set of N numbers: increments, $\Delta a_0, \Delta a_1, \Delta a_2, \dots$

Third set of N numbers: first coefficients of power series, $a_0^0, a_1^0, a_2^0, \dots$

$n = 8 \quad N = 6 \quad R = (17).109^*$			
.99999 99999 99999 8	-2.7725 88722 23976 0	3.8436 24111 28563 9	-3.5522 62889 02641 5
2.4622 08686 50661 3	-1.3579 84301 98948 8		
.33772 37682 39469 (16)	-.87032 74451 87467 (13)	.77153 25688 77960 (10)	-.28112 51574 66996 (07)
.36069 08954 34581 (05)	-.16592 58152 13235 (05)		
.99999 99999 99999 8	-2.7725 88722 23967 3	3.8436 24111 20848 7	-3.5522 62860 91390 0
2.4622 05079 59766 0	-1.3579 82642 73133 6		
$n = 9 \quad N = 5 \quad R = (15).221^*$			
.99999 99999 99999 7	-2.7725 88722 23784 5	3.8436 24103 41591 9	-3.5522 51583 72241 5
2.4555 84189 95559 8			
.11343 42174 27525 (14)	-.42598 16078 12399 (11)	.47603 86921 92820 (08)	-.16267 46640 40865 (05)
.90011 01627 60475 (06)			
.99999 99999 99998 6	-2.7725 88722 23358 5	3.8436 24098 65553 2	-3.5522 49956 97577 5
2.4555 83289 84543 5			
$n = 10 \quad N = 5 \quad R = (17).237^*$			
.99999 99999 99999 7	-2.7725 88722 23965 9	3.8436 24110 35329 0	-3.5522 60109 01936 1
2.4589 10142 70730 7			
.35487 94305 64288 (16)	-.26651 39290 17594 (12)	.59555 22948 14314 (09)	-.40679 75042 86287 (06)
.22533 23899 75764 (06)			
.99999 99999 99999 7	-2.7725 88722 23939 3	3.8436 24109 75773 9	-3.5522 59702 22185 7
2.4589 09917 37491 7			
$n = 12 \quad N = 4 \quad R = (16).683$			
.99999 99999 99999 6	-2.7725 88722 23082 6	3.8436 23927 94091 4	-3.5510 60917 26426 2
.47825 92242 87784 (15)	-.89555 91370 62224 (11)	.36687 14529 59622 (07)	-.25423 13796 41909 (07)
.99999 99999 99999 1	-2.7725 88722 22187 0	3.8436 23891 25377 0	-3.5510 60891 84112 3
$n = 13 \quad N = 4 \quad R = (17).427$			
.99999 99999 99999 8	-2.7725 88722 23866 1	3.8436 24065 48861 6	-3.5516 61878 69683 0
.29895 17625 91314 (16)	-.11195 73253 37243 (11)	.91721 74578 54901 (08)	-.63568 60142 14195 (08)
.99999 99999 99999 8	-2.7725 88722 23754 1	3.8436 24056 31644 1	-3.5516 61872 33997 0
$n = 18 \quad N = 3 \quad R = (17).616$			
.99999 99999 99999 8	-2.7725 88722 20170 4	3.8436 03785 19664 4	
.18486 51257 19881 (16)	-.96922 75924 12394 (11)	.89575 33393 31146 (11)	
.99999 99999 99999 8	-2.7725 88722 20101 1	3.8436 03785 18768 6	

* Used in testing exponential subroutine

() Number of leading zeros

the case of continued fractions, the calculations are too complex to allow a modification of the coefficients on a desk calculator.

The given approximations have the form

$$16^{-z} = H_0 + \frac{G_1}{z + H_1 + \frac{G_2}{z + H_2 + \frac{G_3}{z + H_3}}}$$

for $N = 7$. The coefficients are given in the order $H_0, G_1, H_1, G_2, \dots$,

Table 5 Lower accuracy polynomial approximations to 16^{-z} N approximation coefficients, $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$

$n = 2$	$N = 6$	$R = (06).110^*$				
.99999	99452	-2.7725 72806	3.8428 71828	-3.5391 74835	2.3579 84098	-.97029 49200
$n = 3$	$N = 6$	$R = (08).156$				
.99999	99990	-2.7725 88143	3.8435 69674	-3.5503 87128	2.4328 37713	-1.1495 59772
$n = 3$	$N = 5$	$R = (07).932$				
.99999	99305	-2.7725 60734	3.8418 15544	-3.5110 95049	2.0735 98339	
$n = 4$	$N = 5$	$R = (08).279$				
.99999	99977	-2.7725 86836	3.8433 81565	-3.5413 09708	2.2587 32506	
$n = 5$	$N = 4$	$R = (07).193$				
.99999	99823	-2.7725 70608	3.8407 14159	-3.4020 57667		
$n = 6$	$N = 4$	$R = (08).118$				
.99999	99989	-2.7725 86416	3.8428 84780	-3.4762 47221		
$n = 7$	$N = 3$	$R = (07).535$				
.99999	99476	-2.7724 67787	3.8022 57809			
$n = 8$	$N = 3$	$R = (08).666$				
.99999	99934	-2.7725 58361	3.8828 75667			

* Used in testing exponential subroutine

() Number of leading zeros

the upper and lower signs correspond to 16^{+z} and 16^{-z} , respectively. The approximation to $16^{\pm z}$ for $n = 5$, $N = 4$, however, has the form

$$16^{\pm z} = H_0 + Az + \frac{G_1}{z + H_1}$$

where A is listed in last place.

All approximations were generated by the IB CTR program from functions of the form $f(\alpha x)$, where $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ and

$$\alpha = \frac{\Delta}{2} = 2^{-n-1}$$

They were compared with the power series at 100 equispaced points in $-1 \leq x \leq 1$. In all cases the errors did not exceed the a priori estimates computed by IB CTR. The errors (the R 's) quoted in the tables, take the transformations in Equations 5 and 6 into account. In the case of the continued fractions, the error estimates are somewhat too pessimistic. The user of the approximations will find them to be as much as five to ten times more accurate.

Table 6 Power series coefficients of $16^{\pm x}$

i	a_i^0		
0	1.00000	00000	00000
1	± 2.77258	87222	39781
2	3.84362	41113	45610
3	± 3.55226	29545	48579
4	2.46224	10515	52888
5	± 1.36535	63541	94271
6	.63092	86049	12909

The approximations indicated in the tables by an asterisk were used for testing purposes in exponential subroutines for SYSTEM/360. These subroutines were tested in various ranges of the argument at 100 points for each range. The absolute error of $(1 - e^x e^{-x})^*$, which can easily be shown to be as much as $R^+ + R^-$, was taken as a measure of the error (the terms $R^\pm$ represent the relative error for e^x when the approximation of $16^{\pm x}$ is used). For the other approximations, several spot checks were made using a desk calculator. In all cases, the results were found to be as accurate as could be expected. That is, the errors were either less than $R^+ + R^-$, or could be explained by inaccuracies in the table entries.

The appendices reproduce assembly listings of two SYSTEM/360 codes for e^x which illustrate some of the logical procedures necessary for the table lookup. In programming the tests described above, the inversions were suppressed.

A word of caution about the construction of the table is in order. It is clear that the results of the lookup subroutines will be no more accurate than the table entries. In general, computing these entries on the SYSTEM/360 for use in the lookup subroutines is not quite adequate due to accumulation of round-off error. For convenience, nonetheless, the table entries were calculated on SYSTEM/360 with the aid of the 7090 SUPPAK simulator. Therefore, for the more precise approximations, the tests cannot be considered conclusive as far as the last 2 digits are concerned. This difficulty did not arise for the less precise approximations of Tables 3, 5, and 8.

In order to assess the efficiency of the table lookup approximations, we compare them with standard approximations for 2^x in the interval $-1/2 \leq x \leq 1/2$. The choice of 2^x (rather than 16^x) for the standard approximations is indicated because of faster convergence of the power series. This choice leads, as already discussed, to some coding difficulties which should be at least as great as those encountered in the table-lookup procedure advocated in this paper.

As a measure of efficiency, we may safely take P_M (or P_D), the number of multiplications (or divisions) required in coding

Table 7 Powers of 16: $16^{\pm \Delta}$

n	$\Delta = 2^{-n}$	$16^{+\Delta}$	$16^{-\Delta}$	
5	(1) .3125	1.09050 77326 65258	.91700 40432 04671	2
6	(1) .15625	1.04427 37824 27414	.95760 32806 98573	6
7	(2) .78125	1.02189 71486 54117	.97857 20620 87700	1
8	(2) .39062 5	1.01088 92860 51700	.98922 80131 93975	5
9	(2) .19531 25	1.00542 99011 12803	.99459 94234 83633	2
10	(3) .97656 25	1.00271 12750 50202	.99729 60560 85470	1
11	(3) .48828 125	1.00135 47198 92108	.99864 71128 90970	1
12	(3) .24414 0625	1.00067 71306 93066	.99932 33275 02650	7
13	(3) .12207 03125	1.00033 85080 52682	.99966 16064 96243	6
14	(4) .61035 15625	1.00016 92397 05302	.99983 07889 31929	1

() Number of leading zeros

Table 8 Continued fraction approximations to $16^{\pm \Delta}$ Order of coefficients for $n = 2, 3, 4, 7, 8$: $H_0, G_1, H_1, G_2, \dots$ For $n = 5$: H_0, G_1, H_1, A

$n = 2$	$N = 7$	$R^1 = (08).523$	$R^2 = (08).192$		
—	.98915 47947 11220	∓ 8.5732	34616 69475	∓ 4.3296	87747 97325 6.5159 25907 06117
$\pm$	.39311 70161 43720 (02)	1.3011	89733 82176	$\pm .39338$	30795 90640 (02)
$n = 3$	$N = 5$	$R^1 = (06).141$	$R^2 = (07).827$		
	1.0015 03444 34067	± 4.3373	01763 73720	∓ 2.1659	36834 86781 1.5620 03161 78210
$\mp$	.54184 54955 71694 (03)				
$n = 4$	$N = 5$	$R^1 = (08).409$	$R^2 = (08).256$		
	1.0003 75482 03752	± 4.3303	87266 57632	∓ 2.1645	16326 84734 1.5612 70867 60306
$\mp$	.13540 10962 99561 (03)				
$n = 5$	$N = 4$	$R^1 = (07).560$	$R^2 = (08).639^*$		
—	3.5001 17190 31934	∓ 4.8694	12933 22660	∓ 1.0820	63582 05132 ∓ 1.3862 40087 95073
$n = 7$	$N = 3$	$R^1 = (06).186$	$R^2 = (07).268$		
—	.99999 51122 68675	∓ 1.4426	98566 05599	$\mp .72135$	10459 38893
$n = 8$	$N = 3$	$R^1 = (07).232$	$R^2 = (08).333^*$		
—	.99999 87781 25393	∓ 1.4426	95922 24857	$\mp .72134$	84018 24546

* Used in testing exponential subroutine

() Number of leading zeros

 R^1 , theoretical relative error; R^2 , numerical relative error

the subroutine. The multiplication for the table lookup will be traded off against the multiplication by 2^i shown in Equation 2. The time of the lookup itself, which requires one access to a stored table, depends upon the operational context, but usually will be small.

The following numbers are taken from standard approximations also produced by IB CTR. (N' identifies continued fraction approximations.)

$$\begin{aligned}
R &= (17) .31 \quad N = 12 \quad P_M = 11 \\
R &= (15) .22 \quad N = 11 \quad P_M = 10 \\
R &= (08) .19 \quad N = 7 \quad P_M = 6 \\
R &= (09) .47 \quad N' = 7 \quad P_D = 3 \\
R &= (07) .78 \quad N = 6 \quad P_M = 5 \\
R &= (08) .79 \quad N' = 6 \quad P_M = 1 \quad P_D = 2
\end{aligned}$$

For the approximations given in this paper, the corresponding numbers, of course, depend upon the size of the table. We summarize the results as follows:

High precision

When the number of table entries (2^n) increases from 256 to 8192, P_M is found to decrease from 5 to 3.

Low precision

When the number of table entries (2^n) increases from 4 to 256, P_M is found to decrease from 5 to 2 and P_D from 3 to 1.

For small values of n one would, of course, keep the tables in high speed memory and could expect closer agreement in efficiency between the two approaches compared.

CITED REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTE

1. C. Lanczos, *Applied Analysis*, Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 438-468 (1956).
2. "Tables of Chebyshev polynomials $S_n(x)$ and $C_n(x)$," *Applied Mathematics Series*, No. 9, National Bureau of Standards (December 1952).
3. K. Spielberg, "The representation of power-series in terms of polynomials, rational approximations, and continued fractions," *Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery* **8**, 613-627 (1961).
4. E. G. Kogbetliantz, "Computation of e^N for $-\infty < N < +\infty$ using an electronic computer," *IBM Journal of Research and Development* **1**, 2, 110-115 (April 1957).
5. D. Cantor, G. Estrin, and R. Turn, "Logarithmic and exponential function evaluation in a variable structure computer," *IRE Transactions on Electronic Computers EC* **11**, 155-164 (April 1962).
6. The convention used to represent the domain of an argument, say F , is that $(0, 1)$ indicates $0 < F < 1$ and $[0, 1)$ indicates $0 \leq F < 1$.

001F40	79 00 C 0C8	* EXP	CE	E1,MAX	
001F44	47 2F 0 000		BC	2,0(R15)	ERROR RETURN, BRANCH ON FIRST OPERAND HIGH
001F48	79 00 C 0D0		CE	E1,MIN	
001F4C	47 20 C 016		BC	2,CONT	BRANCH ON FIRST OPERAND HIGH
001F50	1B 00		SR	E1,E1	RETURN WITH NORMAL ZERO
001F52	47 FF 0 004		BC	15,4(R15)	
001F56	6C 00 C 0D8	CONT	MD	E1,LOGE	
001F5A	68 20 C 0E0		LD	E2,ZERO1	ZERO WITH CHARACTERISTIC 64 + 14
001F5E	2E 20		AWR	E2,E1	GENERATES UNNORMALIZED M IN E2
001F60	47 80 C 0A0		BC	8,P1	BRANCH ON M ZERO
001F64	60 20 C 0B8	*	STD	E2,M	M + 4 CONTAINS FIXED POINT M, M CONTAINS SIGN OF ARGUMENT
001F68	6A 20 C 0E8		AD	E2,ZERO2	NORMALIZE M
001F6C	2B 02		SDR	E1,E2	M + F - F = M (MAY BE NEGATIVE)
001F6E	6E 00 C 0E8	P3	AW	E1,ZERO2	FIXES POINT OF F AFTER BIT 7
001F72	60 00 C 0C0		STD	E1,C1	F WITH POINT FIXED
001F76	94 00 C 0C0		NI	C1,00	SET CHARACTERISTIC TO ZERO
001F7A	58 10 C 0C0		L	R1,C1	ABSOLUTE VALUE OF F, POINT AFTER BIT 7
001F7E	88 10 0 010		SRL	R1,16	FIRST 8 BITS OF F(=Y+H) FOR TABLE LOOK
001F82	89 10 0 003		SLL	R1,3	ADDRESS FULL WORDS (8 BYTES LONG EACH)
001F86	94 00 C 0C1		NI	C1+1,CO	SET Y ZERO
001F8A	96 40 C 0C0		OI	C1,64	16,0 IN CHAR., UNNORMALIZED H FOR POLYN
001F8E	68 20 C 0C0		LD	E2,C1	H
001F92	6A 20 C 0E8		AD	E2,ZERO2	NORMALIZE H
001F96	98 57 C 0A8	P2	LM	R5,R7,XW	SET 3 REGISTERS TO 0,8,46
001F9A	68 00 C 0F0		LD	E1,C5	
001F9E	2C 02	P4	MDR	E1,E2	EVALUATE POLYNOMIAL
001FA0	6A 05 C 0F8		AD	E1,C4(R5)	
001FA4	87 56 C 05E		BXLE	R5,R6,P4	
001FA8	6C 01 C 240		MD	E1,TAB(R1)	MULTIPLICATION WITH TABLE VALUES GIVES 16,F
001FAC	91 FF C 0B9		TM	M+1,X'FF'	TEST WHETHER M ZERO
001FB0	47 50 C 08C		BC	5,P6	YES
001FB4	58 20 C 0BC		L	R2,M+4	ABSOLUTE VALUE OF M (MAY BE ZERO)
001FB8	89 20 0 018		SLL	R2,24	SHIFT ABS(H) INTO CHARACTERISTIC
001FBC	70 00 C 0C0		STE	E1,C1	
001FC0	5A 20 C 0C0		A	R2,C1	ADD M TO CHAR. OF 16,F
001FC4	50 20 C 0C0		ST	R2,C1	
001FC8	78 00 C 0C0		LE	E1,C1	FINAL RESULT IF X WAS POSITIVE
001FCC	91 80 C 0B8	P6	TM	M,X'80'	TEST SIGN OF ARGUMENT
001FD0	47 8F 0 004		BC	8,4(R15)	IF POSITIVE , RETURN
001FD4	68 20 C 118		LD	E2,FONE	IF NEGATIVE, INVERT
001FD8	2D 20		DDR	E2,E1	
001FDA	28 C2		LDR	E1,E2	
001FDC	47 FF 0 004		BC	15,4(R15)	RETURN
001FE0	60 00 C 0B8	P1	STD	E1,M	NORMALIZED NON-ZERO NUMBER, SIGN OF ARG.
001FE4	47 F0 C 02E		BC	15,P3	
* CONSTANTS AND STORAGE					
	000000	E1	EQU	0	
	000002	E2	EQU	2	
	000004	E3	EQU	4	
	000006	E4	EQU	6	
	000001	R1	EQU	1	
	000002	R2	EQU	2	
	000003	R3	EQU	3	
	000004	R4	EQU	4	
	000005	R5	EQU	5	
	000006	R6	EQU	6	
	000007	R7	EQU	7	
	00000C	R12	EQU	12	
	00000F	R15	EQU	15	
001FE8	00000000	XW	DC	A(0)	
001FEC	00000008		DC	A(8)	
001FF0	00000020		DC	A(32)	
001FF8		M	DS	D	
002000		C1	DS	D	
002008	+42. AD0000000000000	MAX	DC	D'173'	173
002010	-42. AD0000000000000	MIN	DC	D'-173'	-173
002018	+40. 50551D94AE0BF8	LOGE	DC	D'.36067376022224085'	
002020	4E000000000000000	ZERO1	DC	X'4E00000000000000'	ZERO WITH CHAR. 64+14
002028	40000000000000000	ZERO2	DC	X'4000000000000000'	
002030	+41. 15F6DF8B272590	C5	DC	D'1.372717781507570'	
002038	+41. 276534AB4E6DFA	C4	DC	D'2.462208432355395'	
002040	+41. 38D611BFC7B37E		DC	D'3.552263020649860'	
002048	+41. 3D7F7BFF016221		DC	D'3.843624111285074'	
002050	+41. 2C5C85FDF47437		DC	D'2.772588722239801'	
002058	+41. 100000000000000	FONE	DC	D'1.C'	ALSO FIRST COEFFICIENT
		EJECT			

				* COMPUTE E,X AS E,X IF X NEGATIVE, AS E,-X IF X POS.	
002060	79 00 C 1E8	EXPM	CE	E1,MAXM	
002064	47 2F 0 000		BC	2,0(R15)	ERROR RETURN, BRANCH ON FIRST OPERAND HIGH
002068	79 00 C 1F0		CE	E1,MINM	
00206C	47 20 C 136		BC	2,CONTM	BRANCH ON FIRST OPERAND HIGH
002070	1B 00		SR	E1,E1	RETURN WITH NORMAL ZERO
002072	47 FF 0 004		BC	15,4(R15)	
002076	6C 00 C 1F8	CONTM	MD	E1,LOGEM	
00207A	68 20 C 200		LD	E2,ZERM1	ZERO WITH CHARACTERISTIC 64+14
00207E	2E 20		AWR	E2,E1	GENERATES UNNORMALIZED M IN E2
002080	47 80 C 1C2		BC	8,P1M	BRANCHES ON M ZERO
002084	60 20 C 11D8		STD	E2,MM	M+4 CONTAINS FIXED POINT M, M CONTAINS SIGN OF ARGUMENT
* NORMALIZE M					
002088	6A 20 C 208		AD	E2,ZERM2	
00208C	2B 02		SDR	E1,E2	M+F - M = F (MAY BE NEGATIVE)
00208E	6E 00 C 208	P3M	AW	E1,ZERM2	FIXES POINT OF F AFTER BIT 7
002092	60 00 C 1E0		STD	E1,C1M	F WITH POINT FIXED
002096	94 00 C 1E0		NI	C1M,00	CHARACTERISTIC AND SIGN SET ZERO
00209A	58 10 C 1E0		L	R1,C1M	ABSOLUTE VALUE OF F, POINT AFTER BIT 7
00209E	88 10 0 010		SRL	R1,16	SHIFT OUT H
0020A2	89 10 0 003		SLL	R1,3	ADDRESS FULL WORDS (8 BYTES LONG EACH)
0020A6	94 00 C 1E1		NI	C1M+1,00	SET Y ZERO
0020AA	96 40 C 1F0		OI	C1M,64	16,0 IN CHAR., UNNORMALIZED H FOR POLYN
0020AE	68 20 C 1E0		LD	E2,C1M	UNNORMALIZED H
0020B2	6A 20 C 208		AD	E2,ZERM2	NORMALIZE H
0020B6	98 57 C 1CC		LM	R5,R7,XWM	
0020BA	68 00 C 210		LD	E1,C5M	COEFFICIENTS ARE FOR FUNCTION E,-X
0020BE	2C 02	P4M	MDR	E1,E2	
0020C0	6A 05 C 218		AD	E1,C4M(R5)	
0020C4	87 56 C 17E		BXLE	R5,R6,P4M	
0020C8	6C 01 C A40		MD	E1,TABM(R1)	TABLE CONTAINS VALUES OF 16,-1/256
0020CC	91 FF C 11D9		TM	MM+1,X'FF'	TEST WHETHER M ZERO
0020D0	47 50 C 1AE		BC	5,P6M	YES
0020D4	58 20 C 1DC		L	R2,MM+4	ABSOLUTE VALUE OF M (MAY BE ZERO)
0020D8	89 20 0 018		SLL	R2,24	
0020DC	13 22		LCR	R2,R2	SUBTRACT ABSOLUTE VALUE OF M
0020DE	70 00 C 1E0		STE	E1,C1M	
0020E2	5A 20 C 1E0		A	R2,C1M	ADD -M TO CHAR. TO GENERATE 16,-F-M
0020E6	50 20 C 1E0		ST	R2,C1M	
0020EA	78 00 C 1E0		LE	E1,C1M	FINAL RESULT IF X WAS NEGATIVE
0020EE	91 80 C 1D8	P6M	TM	MM,X'80'	TEST SIGN OF ARGUMENT
0020F2	47 1F 0 004		BC	1,4(R15)	IF NEGATIVE, BRANCH
0020F6	68 20 C 238		LD	E2,FONEM	IF POSITIVE, INVERT
0020FA	2D 20		DDR	E2,E1	
0020FC	28 02		LDR	E1,E2	
0020FE	47 FF 0 004		BC	15,4(R15)	
002102	60 00 C 1D8	P1M	STD	E1,MM	NORMALIZED NON-ZERO NUMBER, SIGN OR ARG.
002106	47 F0 C 14E		BC	15,P3M	
* CONSTANTS AND STORAGE					
00210C	00000000	XWM	DC	A(C)	
002110	00000008		DC	A(8)	
002114	00000020		DC	A(32)	
002118		MM	DS	D	
002120		C1M	DS	D	
002128	+42.AD000000000000	MAXM	DC	D'173'	
002130	-42.AD000000000000	MINM	DC	D'-173'	
002138	+40.50551D94AE0BF8	LOGEM	DC	D'.360673760222405'	
002140	4E00000000000000	ZERM1	DC	X'4E00000000000000'	
002148	4000000000000000	ZERM2	DC	X'4000000000000000'	
002150	-41.15BA4DBF586B79	C5M	DC	D'-1.357984301989488'	
002158	+41.276534EF87917D	C4M	DC	D'2.462208686506613'	
002160	-41.38D6119C729B5C		DC	D'-3.552262889026415'	
002168	+41.3D7F7DFF016C12		DC	D'3.84362411285639'	
002170	-41.2C5C85FD4737F		DC	D'-2.772588722239760'	
002178	+41.10000000000000	FONEH	DC	D'1.'	
002180		TAB	DS	256D	
002980		TABM	DS	256D	
		END	TP00		WILL REQUIRE BASE REGISTER